Asymmetric Synthesis of 2-Amino Alcohol Derivatives from (S)- α -Amino Aldehydes via Chiral Acetal Templates

Shinzo KANO,* Tsutomu YOKOMATSU, Haruo IWASAWA, and Shiroshi SHIBUYA Tokyo College of Pharmacy, 1432-1 Horinouchi, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-03

Titanium tetrachloride mediated addition of allyltrimethylsilane to chiral acetals derived from (S)- α -amino aldehydes and (+)-(2S,4S)-pentane-2,4-diol gave the anti-2-amino alcohol derivatives with considerably high diastereoselectivity. On the other hand, the same reaction by the use of acetals obtained from (-)-(2R,4R)-pentane-2,4-diol gave the products of opposite stereochemistry series as major products.

A stereoselective synthesis of 2-amino alcohols has been greatly stimulated $^{1)}$ in peptidomimetic chemistry. Chiral 2-amino alcohols such as statine (1: X=OH) have been incorporated into peptides to get compounds, as exemplified by pepstatin (2), having inhibiting properties toward some class of proteolytic enzymes. $^{2)}$ Although α -amino aldehydes derived from (S)- α -amino acids have a remarkable ability to yield chiral 2-amino alcohols by alkylation, the levels of the stereoselectivity are usually low, $^{3)}$ and in these reactions, (1S,2S)-2-amino alcohols are formed predominantly over (1R,2S)-isomers. A new facile diastereoselective synthesis of (1R,2S)-2-amino alcohols is challenge, since they would be potentially useful for a preparation of statine analogues (e.g. 1: X=functional groups such as SH, S-alkyl) possessing the same stereochemistry as statine by conversion of hydroxy group to other functional groups by $S_{\rm N}^{2}$ type substitution reactions. We wish to describe an asymmetric synthesis of 2-amino alcohol derivatives through titanium tetrachloride mediated addition of allyltrimethylsilane to chiral acetals of (S)- α -amino aldehydes by an application of the effect of chiral acetal templates. $^{4)}$

Iva-Val-Val-Sta-Ala-Sta
$$(Iva=isovaleric)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}$$

Acetals, used in this study, were prepared as follows. Swern oxidation $^{5)}$ of (S)-2-amino alcohols ($\underline{3a}$ - \underline{c}), followed by acetalization of the resulting aldehydes ($\underline{4a}$ - \underline{c}) (methanol, p-toluenesulfonic acid) gave $\underline{5a}$ - \underline{c} , respectively. Transacetalization of $\underline{5a}$ - \underline{c} with 1,3-propanediol in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid yielded the acetals ($\underline{6a}$ - \underline{c}), $^{6)}$ respectively. The same reaction by the use of (+)-(2S, 4S)-2,4-pentanediol and (-)-(2R,4R)-2,4-pentanediol afforded the corresponding acetals ($\underline{7a}$ - \underline{c} , $\underline{8a}$ - \underline{c}), respectively.

At the first stage, stereoselectivity in allylation of 4b and acetals (6a-c)

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ R \\ H \\ NHCbz \\ \underline{3}: X=CH_2OH \\ \underline{4}: X=CHO \\ \\ Cbz=COOCH_2C_6H_5 \\ \underline{a}: R=Me, \underline{b}: R=CH_2CHMe_2, \underline{c}: R=CH_2C_6H_5 \\ \end{array}$$

was examined. Treatment of $\underline{4b}$ with allyltrimethylsilane (CH₂Cl₂, TiCl₄, -78 °C, 1 h then quenched with methanol at -78 °C) gave a 2:3 mixture ⁷⁾ of $\underline{9}$ and $\underline{10}$ in a favor of syn-isomer ($\underline{10}$). In contrast to this result, the same reaction by the use of $\underline{6a}$ - \underline{c} , the ratio of syn/anti-isomer varied in a favor of anti-isomers. Yields and the ratio of syn/anti-isomer, as shown in the Table 1, depend critically on the size of alkyl substituent at α -position. The results indicate that the reaction proceeds predominantly via the S_N^2 type transition state A over the transition state B giving syn-siomer.

$$\frac{4b}{9} \xrightarrow{\text{NHCbz}} \frac{10}{10} \xrightarrow{\text{NHCbz}} \frac{\text{Table 1. Yield of } \underline{11} \text{ and } \underline{12} \text{ from } \underline{6}}{\frac{\text{Acetal Yield/\%}}{\frac{6a}{11:12}8}} \frac{11:12^8}{\frac{6b}{6a}} \xrightarrow{\text{90}} \frac{71:29}{71:29}} \frac{6b}{\frac{6c}{54}} \xrightarrow{\text{67:33}} \frac{6c}{54} \xrightarrow{\text{67:33}} \frac{11}{11} \xrightarrow{\text{NHCbz}} \frac{12}{12} \xrightarrow{\text{NHCbz}} \frac{12}{56} \xrightarrow{\text{NHCbz}}$$

Secondly, allylation of 7a-c and 8a-c was examined to explore the variation of syn/anti-isomer by addition of chiral auxialiary on acetals. In the cases of 7a-c, of the two transition states (C and D), C leading to anti-isomer should be sterically more favorable than D giving syn-isomers. In addition, it can be expected that template effect in C works better than in D. 9) In fact, in allylation of 7a-c, anti-isomers (13a-c) were obtained predominantly over syn-isomers (14a-c) as shown in the Table 2. Both isomers (13a-c, 14a-c) were separated by column chromatography on silica gel by elution with hexane-ethyl acetate (5:1). Allylation of 8a-c yielded syn-isomers (16a-c) as major products (Table 2). Of the two transition states (E, F), although E seems to be sterically more favorable than F, chiral template can be anticipated to work more effectively in F than E. Formation of 16a-c as major products can be accounted for mainly by this reason. But, the diaster-

eoselectivity decreased in order of $\underline{16a}$ $\underline{16b}$ $\underline{16c}$, which were consistent with the order of the size of alkyl substituesnt at α -position. The chemical behavior seen in such addition reaction correlates well with the chiral template effect as well as steric effect.

Table 2. Yield of $\underline{13}/\underline{14}$, $\underline{15}/\underline{16}$ and $[\alpha]_D$ of $\underline{13}$ and $\underline{16}$

Acetal	Yield/	% <u>13:14</u>	$\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20}/^{\circ} \text{ of } \frac{13}{13}$	Acetal	Yield/	% <u>15:16</u>	$[\alpha]_D^{20}/^{\circ}$ of $\underline{16}$ (CHC13)
<u>7a</u>	92	85:15	+46.20 (c, 1.06)	<u>8a</u>	70	20:80	-36.20 (c, 1.05)
<u>7b</u>	97	86:14	+27.99 (c, 1.32)	<u>8b</u>	75	28:72	-49.77 (c, 0.85)
<u>7c</u>	72	84:16	-19.90 (c, 3.71)	<u>8c</u>	62	33:67	-65.00 (c, 2.30)

Conversion of 13a-c to 17a-c was achieved by Jones oxidation, followed by treatment with base (7.5 M KOH, methanol, THF, 1:2:4), respectively. In a similar way, 16a-c were also converted to 18a-c, respectively, by removal of the chiral auxiliary. The stereochemistry of 17a-c was assigned as 4,5-cis and 18a-c was as 4,5-trans based on the chemical shifts for 4-H and 5-H and coupling constants for $\underline{J}_{4,5}$ observed in their ${}^1{\rm H}$ NMR (CDC1 $_3$, 400 MHz) spectra. ${}^{10)}$ Furthermore, Jones oxidation of 13b, followed by treatment with p-toluenesulfonic acid (1.5 equiv., dioxane- H_2^0 (2:1), reflux 36 h) 11) afforded $\underline{9}$ in 68.5% yield (84.5% yield based on the recovery of 13b), mp 87-91 °C, $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -16.5° (c, 0.17, methanol). In order to prove that the chiral centers retained during these reactions, $\underline{17b}$ was converted to 20.3) Protection of 3-nitrogen of 17b with Boc (NaH, THF, Boc₂0, 0 °C — room temperature, 12 h), followed by oxidation with RuCl₃·H₂O under Sharpless conditions 12) gave the acid $(\underline{19})$ in 64.5% yield from $\underline{17b}$, mp 75-77 °C. Hydrolysis of $\underline{19}$ (LiOH, aqueous methanol, room temperature, 0.5 h) afforded $\underline{20}$, mp 135-136 °C (lit., 3) mp 135-136 °C), $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -26.7 ° (c, 0.27, methanol) (lit., 3) $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -27.6 ° (c, 0.31, methanol). Thus, the absolute configuration of these products were clearly determined and the two asymmetric centers were found to retain during these steps.

Table 3. Yield, $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}$, and δ (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) of $\underline{17}$, $\underline{18}$

Compound	d Yield	δ		
·			4-H	5-H
<u>17a</u>	80	+46.27 (c, 0.83)	4.63	3.93
<u>17b</u>	87	+13.32 (c, 1.09)	4.64	3.88
<u>17c</u>	81	-68.90 (c, 1.47)	4.76	3.97
<u>18a</u>	82	-40.80 (c, 0.87)	4.14	3.61
<u>18b</u>	81	-51.73 (c, 0.88)	4.17	3.57
<u>18c</u>	80	-67.20 (c, 0.97)	4.35	3.72

<u>a</u>: R=Me, <u>b</u>: R=CH₂CHMe₂,

c: R=CH₂C₆H₅

References

- P. W. Woo, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>26</u>, 2973 (1985); M.-N. Dunfour, P. Jouin, J. Poncet, A. Pantaloni, and B. Castro, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., <u>1986</u>, 1895;
 H. Kogen and T. Nishi, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., <u>1987</u>, 311.
- M. W. Hollady and D. H. Rich, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>24</u>, 4401 (1983); M. G. Bock, R. M. DiPardock, B. E. Evans, K. E. Rittle, J. S. Bogger, R. M. Freidinger, and D. F. Veber, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., <u>1985</u>, 109; D. H. Rich, J. Med. Chem., <u>28</u>, 263 (1985); N. S. Agawal and D. H. Rich, J. Med. Chem., <u>29</u>, 2519 (1986).
- 3) D. H. Rich, E. T. Sun, and A. S. Boparai, J. Org. Chem., 43, 3624 (1978).
- 4) P. A. Bartlet, W. S. Johnson, and J. D. Elliot, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>105</u>, 2083 (1983); A. Mori, K. Maruoka, and H. Yamamoto, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>25</u>, 4421 (1984); A. Mori, I. Arai, and H. Yamamoto, Tetrahedron, <u>42</u>, 6447 (1986), and references therein.
- 5) K. Omura and D. Swern, Tetrahedron, 34, 1651 (1978).
- 6) All new compounds gave satisfactory microanalyses (or high MS) and spectral data (¹H NMR, IR, MS). All compounds were obtained as an oil otherwise noted: <u>5a</u>, mp 40-41 °C; <u>5c</u>, mp 75-76 °C; <u>7a</u>, mp 66-67 °C; <u>7c</u>, mp 92-93 °C; <u>8a</u>, mp 43-44 °C; <u>8c</u>, mp 90-91 °C; <u>13b</u>, mp 53-55 °C; <u>17a</u>, mp 73-74 °C; <u>17b</u>, mp 69-70 °C; <u>17c</u>, mp 78-81 °C.
- 7) Because of difficulty of separation, the ratio was determined after conversion to a mixture of 17b and 18b by treatment with 7.5 M KOH-methanol-THF (1:2:4).
- 8) The ratios were determined after conversion to a mixture of $\underline{17a}$ - \underline{c} and $\underline{18a}$ - \underline{c} by Swern oxidation followed by treatment with 7.5 M KOH-methanol-THF (1:2:4).
- 9) V. M. F. Choi, J. D. Elliott, and W. S. Johnson, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 591 (1984).
- 10) The signals due to 4-H in trans-isomers generally appears at higher field with small coupling constant for $\underline{J}_{4,5}$ than that of the corresponding cis-isomers; S. Kobayashi, T. Isobe, and M. Ohono, Tetrahedron Lett., $\underline{24}$, 5079 (1983).
- 11) J. D. Elliott, V. M. F. Choi, and W. S. Johnson, J. Org. Chem., 48, 2295 (1983).
- 12) E. Carlsen, T. Katsuki, V. S. Martin, and K. B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem., $\underline{46}$, 3936 (1981).

(Received May 12, 1987)